## The energy dependence of the Pomeron and the eikonalized Pomeron

## S.V.Goloskokov, S.P.Kuleshov, O.V.Selyugin

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna

#### Abstract

The one-to-one connection between the eikonal phase and the ratio of the elastic and total cross section is shown. Based on new experimental data of Collaboration CDF we analyzed intercept and power of the logarithmic growth of the Born and total Pomeron amplitude.

Now we have a long and plenty discussion of the energy dependence of the elastic and total cross section in the hadron - hadron scattering [1, 2]. Some models with the different hypothesis (see for example [3]. lead to

$$\sigma_{tot} \sim lns$$
.

One of the recent analysis of the plenty experimental material has been made in [4]. The conclusion was that this analysis gives strong evidence of a  $\log(s/s_0)$  dependence at current energies rather than of  $\log^2(s/s_0)$  and demonstrates that the odderon is not necessary to explain experimental data. But many models (for example [5]) were based on the idea of "supercritical" Pomeron exchange, with  $\varepsilon = \alpha(0) - 1 > 0$ , which after unitarization results in the Froissart saturation of the total cross sections

$$\sigma_{tot} \sim ln^2 s$$
.

In [6] the overall analysis of experimental material was made ¿from viewpoint of the soft and hard supercritical Pomeron where the  $\log^2(s/s_0)$  was obtained. Good agreement with data on deep inelastic scattering and photoproduction data is reached in the case when the non-perturbative component of the Pomeron is governed by the "maximal" behaviour, i.e. like  $\ln^2(s)$  [7].

One can make different remarks on this and others analyses and on the values of the experimental material used. Essential uncertainty in the values of  $\sigma_{tot}$  was shown in [8]. Now we have the large discussion about of the value of  $\sigma_{tot}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8 TeV$ . The last work of the CDF Collaboration [9] gives

$$(1+\rho^2)\sigma_{tot} = 62.64 \pm 0.95 (mb)$$
 at  $\sqrt{s} = 546 GeV$ ,

$$(1+\rho^2)\sigma_{tot} = 81.83 \pm 2.29 (mb)$$
 at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8 TeV$ ,

and

$$\delta(s_1) = \sigma_{elast}/\sigma_{tot} = 0.210 \pm .002 \ at \ \sqrt{s} = 546 GeV,$$

$$\delta(s_2) = \sigma_{elast} / \sigma_{tot} = 0.246 \pm .004 \ at \ \sqrt{s} = 1.8 TeV.$$

Let is compare this value of  $\sigma_{tot}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8 TeV$ . with the previous value which was equals to 72mb The lasts two relations have small errors as a consequence of the cancellation of some errors. As we will show further, the relation of these two values is more interesting and helps us to obtain the intercept of the Born and eikonalized Pomeron. Let us denote the ratio of these two last values by  $\Delta(s_{12})$ 

$$\Delta(s_{12}) = \frac{\delta(s_1)}{\delta(s_2)} = \frac{\sigma_{el}(s_1) \cdot \sigma_{tot}(s_2)}{\sigma_{el}(s_2) \cdot \sigma_{tot}(s_1)}.$$

Now we consider the ordinary representation for the Born term of the scattering amplitude at  $\sqrt{s} \geq 540 GeV$ . At such large energies, we can neglect the contribution of the non-leading Regge terms, and in this analysis, for the simplicity, we neglect the real part of the scattering amplitude

$$T(s,t) = ihs^{\alpha(t)-1}e^{R_0^2 \cdot t/2}$$
(1)

with the linear trajectories  $\alpha(t) = \alpha(0) + \alpha_1 t$  and  $\alpha(0) = 1 + \varepsilon$ . The differential elastic, total elastic and total cross section have the following forms:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \pi \mid T(s,t) \mid; \quad \sigma_{el} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} dt; \quad \sigma_{tot} = 4\pi Im T(s,0).$$

For the amplitude (1) we obtain

$$\sigma_{el} = \pi h^2 \int_{-\infty}^0 s^{2 \cdot (\alpha(t) - 1)} e^{R_0^2 \cdot t} dt = 2\pi \frac{h^2 s^{2\varepsilon}}{R^2}$$
 (2)

and

$$\sigma_{tot} = 4\pi h s^{\varepsilon} \tag{3}$$

where  $R^2 = R_0^2 \cdot (1 + \alpha \cdot lns)$ .

Hence, the relation  $\sigma_{el}/\sigma_{tot}$  is

$$\frac{\sigma_{el}}{\sigma_{tot}} = \delta(s) = \frac{h}{2R^2} \cdot s^{\varepsilon}. \tag{4}$$

Using the value of  $\Delta(s_{12})$  we can find the intercept of the Pomeron

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\ln(\Delta(s_{12}))}{\ln(s_1/s_2)} + \ln\left[\frac{1 + \alpha \ln(s_1)}{1 + \alpha \ln(s_2)}\right] / \ln(s_1/s_2)$$

$$= \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1.$$
(5)

If we take the amplitude in the form

$$T(s,t) = ih \cdot ln^{n}(s) \cdot e^{R_0^2 \cdot t/2}, \tag{6}$$

we can calculate the value of n.

$$n = \frac{ln(\Delta(s_{12}))}{ln(ln(s_1)/ln(s_2))} + ln[\frac{1 + \alpha ln(s_1)}{1 + \alpha ln(s_2)}]/ln(ln(s_1)/ln(s_2)).$$

$$= n_0 + n_1$$
(7)

Now let us consider the total eikonal amplitude

$$T(s,t) = i \int \rho d\rho J_0(\rho \Delta) (1 - e^{i\chi(s,\rho)}), \tag{8}$$

where

$$i\chi(s,\rho) = i \int \Delta J_0(\rho\Delta) T^B(s, -\Delta^2) d\Delta. \tag{9}$$

For the eikonal phase we derive

$$i\chi(s,\rho) = -\frac{hs^{\varepsilon^B}}{R^2}e^{-\rho^2/(2R^2)} = -X \cdot e^{-\rho^2/(2R^2)}.$$
 (10)

It can be shown that the total and elastic cross section can be represented as

$$\sigma_{tot} = R^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1) \frac{(-X)^k}{k!k},$$
(11)

$$\sigma_{el} = R^2 \left\{ 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1) \frac{(-X)^k}{k!k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1) \frac{(-2X)^k}{k!k} \right\}.$$
 (12)

Hence, its ratio depends only on X. The calculation confirms this and, for the experimental values we have the one-to-one correspondence with  $X_i$ .

$$\delta(546) = .210 \leftrightarrow X(546) = 1.38$$

$$\delta(1800) = .246 \leftrightarrow X(1800) = 1.862$$

Based on these values  $X_i$ , we can obtain the intercept  $\varepsilon^B$  or a power of the logarithmic growth  $n^B$ . They have nearly the same form as (5),(7)

$$\varepsilon^{B} = \frac{\ln[X(s_{1})/X(s_{2})]}{\ln(s_{1}/s_{2})} + \ln\left[\frac{1 + \alpha \ln(s_{1})}{1 + \alpha \ln(s_{2})}\right] / \ln(s_{1}/s_{2})$$

$$= \varepsilon_{0}^{B} + \varepsilon_{1};$$
(13)

$$n^{B} = \frac{ln[X(s_{1})/X(s_{2})]}{ln(ln(s_{1})/ln(s_{2}))} + ln[\frac{1 + \alpha ln(s_{1})}{1 + \alpha ln(s_{2})}]/ln(ln(s_{1})/ln(s_{2}))$$

$$= n_{0}^{B} + n_{1}.$$
(14)

Using the recent experimental data we can calculate

$$\varepsilon_0 = 0.066; \quad \varepsilon_0^B = 0.126.$$

$$n_0 = 0.913 \quad n_0^B = 1.73.$$

The values of  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $n_1$  weakly depend on the value of  $\alpha$ . The values of the total cross sections heavily depend on these values of  $\alpha$ .

So, for 
$$R^2 = R_0^2(1 + \alpha ln(s))$$
 we have

for 
$$\alpha = 0.06$$

$$R_0^2 =$$
 6.8 6.9 7.0 7.1   
 $\sigma_{tot}(546) =$  59.5 60.37 61.24 62.12   
 $\sigma_{tot}(1800) =$  79.44 80.6 81.8 82.94

for 
$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$R_0^2 =$$
 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7   
 $\sigma_{tot}(546) =$  59.3 60.1 60.91 61.72 62.54   
 $\sigma_{tot}(1800) =$  78.55 79.6 80.7 81.8 82.85

for  $\alpha = 0.04$ 

$$R_0^2 =$$
 7.8 8.0 8.2   
 $\sigma_{tot}(546) =$  58.45 59.9 61.45   
 $\sigma_{tot}(1800) =$  76.74 78.7 80.7

Let us remember that the experimental values of  $\sigma_{tot}$  are

$$(1 + \rho^2)\sigma_{tot}(546.) = 62.64(mb) \text{ CDF}$$
  
 $(1 + \rho^2)\sigma_{tot}(546.) = 63.5(mb) \text{ UA4}$   
 $(1 + \rho^2)\sigma_{tot}(1800) = 81.83(mb) \text{ CDF}.$ 

It is clear from these Tables and Fig.1 that the value of  $\alpha$  cannot exceed 0.05, otherwise we will get the wide divergence from the experimental data of the  $\sigma_{tot}$ . Moreover, we can conclude that the experimental value of  $\sigma_{tot}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 1800 GeV$  cannot be less then  $78 \div 80(mb)$  or it will contradict either the value of the ratio  $\sigma_{el}/\sigma_{tot}$  or the value of  $\sigma_{tot}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 546 GeV$ .

The calculation gives for  $\alpha = 0.04$ 

$$\varepsilon_1 = 0.0257$$
  $n_1 = 0.35$ 

and for  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

$$\varepsilon_1 = 0.0296$$
  $n_1 = 0.407$ .

Hence, as the result we have for  $\alpha = 0.05$ 

$$\varepsilon = 0.066 + 0.03 = 0.096;$$
  $\varepsilon^B = 0.126 + 0.03 = 0.156;$ 

$$n = 0.926 + 0.407 = 1.333;$$
  $n^B = 1.73 + 0.41 = 2.14.$ 

The situation does not change if we consider  $R^2 = R_0^2(1 + \alpha \sqrt{ln(s)})$  (see [6]). In this case, the compromising value of  $\alpha = 0.4$  and for that value we find

$$\sigma_{tot}(\sqrt{s} = 546 GeV) = 61.8 mb; \quad \sigma_{tot}(\sqrt{s} = 1800 GeV) = 80.72 mb$$

. and as a result we have practically the same values

$$\varepsilon_1 = 0.022; \quad n_1 = 0.3.$$

So we can see that in the examined energy range the power of the logarithmic growth of  $\sigma_{tot}$  is larger than 1 but sufficiently smaller than 2. It is clear that we have the  $ln^2$  term in the total cross section but with a small coefficient, and now we are very far from the asymptotic range. The ratio  $\sigma_{el}/\sigma_{tot} = .246$  says us the same.

In our opinion, this calculation shows that the value of  $\varepsilon^{QCD} = 0.15 \div 0.17$ , calculated in the framework of the QCD [10] does not contradict the value of the ordinary Pomeron intercept = 0.08. The  $\varepsilon^{QCD}$  is to be compared with the intercept of the eikonalized Pomeron -  $\varepsilon^B$  that is equal to 0.156, as it is evident from our analysis of experimental data.

Our calculation was carried out with the gaussian form of the scattering amplitude. We think that our conclusion does not heavily depend on the definite form of the diffraction peak and we hope to confirm this in the neares future.

Acknowledgement. The authors expresses his deep gratitude to D.V.Shirkov, A.N. Sissakian and V.A. Meshcheryakov for support in this work.

This work was supported in part by the Russian Fond of Fundamental Research, Grand 94 - 02 - 04616.

# References

- [1] International Workshop "Hadron-93", Novy Svit, May 12-18, 1993; V-th Blois Workshop on Elastic and Diffractive Scattering, Brown University, Rhode Island, June 8-12, 1993
- [2] A.Martin, preprint CERN-TH-7284/94 1994.
- [3] L.L.Jenkovszky, E.S.Martynov, B.V.Struminsky, preprint ITP-90-29E.
- [4] M.Block et al., preprint ANL-HEP-CP-94-9.
- [5] P.Gauron, B.Nicolescu, E.Levin, Phys. Lett. v.238 (1993) 406.
- [6] E.Gotsman, E.Levin, U.Maor, Z. Phys. C57 (1993) 677.
- [7] M.Bertini, M.Giffon, L.Jenkovszky, preprint LYCEN/9430-1994, (submitted to Zeitschrift f. Physic C.)
- [8] O.V.Selyugin, Yad. Fiz., 55 (1992), 841.
- [9] CDF Collaboration, preprint Fermilab-Pub-93/234-E.
- [10] M.A.Brawn , preprint US-FT/12-94 1994.

### Figure Captions

Fig.1 The dependence of  $\sigma_{tot}$  on  $R_0$  for the different values of  $\alpha$ . (the long-dash lines are for  $\sigma_{tot}(1800) = 80.03mb$  and  $\sigma_{tot}(546) = 61.26mb$  the short-dash lines are for  $R_0(i)$  such that  $\sigma_{tot}(s, R_0) = \sigma_{tot}(s)_{eksp.}$ )